How Well Do TPRS Students Do on the AP?

Darcy Pippins



Spanish Teacher, Norman High School, Norman, Oklahoma, USA. Pippins has taught K-5, Spanish 1 and 2 at the high school level and college levels, Spanish 3 and 4, and Advanced Placement Spanish Language and Culture for 18 years. She is a presenter on preparing students for advanced exams using comprehensible input methods and TPR Storytelling® and teaches Spanish classes to adults. Darcy is the 2015 recipient of the Oklahoma Teacher of the Year award and the 2016 recipient of the Teacher of the Year Award for SWCOLT, The Southwest Conference on Language Teaching.

Stephen Krashen

School of Education, University of Southern California (Emeritus) USA

Introduction

When compared to traditional approaches, students in comprehension-based classes do quite well, at least as well on tests of form and consistently better on tests requiring communication. (Krashen, 1982, 2003). Recent evaluations include several studies of TPRS (Teaching Proficiency through Reading and Storytelling) (Varguez, 2009; Watson, 2009; Dziedzic, 2012), comparing students with traditionally-taught students after one year of language class.

We report here on an attempt to determine if TPRS can succeed over a longer term, comparing TPRS scores of students who have had TPRS-based high school classes with those of the entire group of students who took the AP examination that year.

Teaching Proficiency through Reading and Storytelling - TPRS

TPRS (Ray and Seely, 2015) is a method designed to be consistent with the Comprehension Hypothesis, which states that we acquire language when we understand what we hear and read, that is, when we obtain *comprehensible input*. It has been proposed that comprehensible input is most effective when it is *compelling*—so interesting that we are completely focused on the message, on what we are hearing or reading (Krashen, 2011).

TPRS attempts to provide compelling comprehensible input through stories. In TPRS, the teacher and students *co-create* stories in class, with the stories generally involving the students themselves as characters. This *personalization* is considered to be a very effective means of making input compelling (Rowan, 2013; Hedstrom, 2014; Pippins, 2015). Reading, a powerful means of stimulating language and literacy development (Krashen, 2003), is included in the form of students reading the co-created stories and simplified readers, many created by experienced TPRS teachers.

Some grammar is included in the form of short explanations delivered at moments when the grammar point is relevant—*pop-up grammar*—a procedure consistent with research showing that consciously learned grammar is of limited use in language comprehension and production (Krashen, 2003).

The AP Examination

The AP Spanish language examination measures academic language achievement, and according to the College Board is typically taken by those with from three to five years of middle and high school Spanish study. (http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/apc/public/exam/exam_information/4554.html)

Students in this study took the AP Spanish examination in May, 2014. One half of the examination score was based on reading and listening to passages and answering multiple choice questions, and one half was based on written and oral *free responses*. In both parts, students are required to deal with *interpersonal* topics (e.g. an email reply in writing) and *presentational* topics (e.g. a persuasive essay). Each section takes about 90 minutes.

The AP is scored on a one to five scale (https://apscore.collegeboard.org/scores/about-ap-scores), with colleges typically granting credit for scores of 3 or higher (http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/apc/public/courses/teachers_corner/221798.html). The University of Oklahoma in Norman, Oklahoma, for example, awards 13

credits for a score of 3 (three courses), 16 credits for a score of 4 (four courses), and 19 credits for a score of 5 (five courses)

(https://apstudent.collegeboard.org/creditandplacement/credit-policy-detail?diCode=6879&orgId=2317&name=University%20of%20Oklahoma&address=Norman%2C%20OK).

Subjects

This study focused on the achievement of 13 students enrolled at Norman High School in Norman, Oklahoma. All had taken beginning Spanish in grade 8, which included some grammar instruction, and then took four years of Spanish in high school with the same teacher (DP): Spanish 2,3,4, and AP Spanish.

Curriculum

Students in this cohort all had an AP preparation class in their last year of high school, one that was not significantly different from model classes posted on the College Board website

(http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/apc/public/courses/teachers_corner/3499.html? excmpid=MTG243-PR-32-cd); there was little emphasis on direct teaching of grammar and vocabulary, and a great deal of reading, discussion, and writing in areas that are the focus of the examination.

For these students, however, Spanish 2, 3, 4 were different from what students experience in traditional classes. All emphasized comprehensible input and included TPRS techniques, sustained silent reading, daily PQA (personalized questions and answers), reading and discussion of novels, Reader's Theater (with students acting out parts of the novels in costumes with props), and songs (including Señor Wooly videos). There was no error correction, no teaching of explicit grammar (but pop-up grammar was included), no grading of writing for accuracy, no grammar worksheets or verb charts, and no textbooks were used.

Results

Table 1 compares scores provided by the College Board for all non-native Spanish speaking students who took the AP test in May, 2014 (n = 41,627 students) with scores achieved by the 13 students at Norman High School. None of the students in table 1 spoke Spanish regularly outside of school and none had lived in a country where Spanish was spoken for more than one month.

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING, VOL. 11, ISSUE 1, MAY 2016; www.ijflt.com © 2016 DARCY PIPPINS AND STEPHEN KRASHEN

	College	Norman
	Board	High
	2014	School
5	18.5	23.1
4	33.2	15.4
3	32.9	46.2
2	12.6	15.4
1	2.8	0
mean	3.52	3.46

Table 1: Percentage scoring at each level*

Percent scoring 3 or higher:

College Board = 84.6%; Norman = 84.6%

*Source: Student Score Distributions 2014, The College Board. http://blog.prepscholar.com/average-ap-scores-for-every-ap-exam

The Norman scores are nearly identical to the national sample, suggesting that significant acquisition of academic language can be attained with only modest amounts of direct instruction.

Potential Confounds

Poverty

Poverty has a large impact on all measures of academic achievement. Free and reduced lunch data suggests that there was no difference between the College Board and Norman High School samples: the national rate of free and reduced lunch for all schools in the US is 51% (Rich, 2015) and the Norman High School rate was 47.5% in 2012-2013 (http://high-schools.com/directory/ok/cities/norman/norman-high-school/402172001082/).

This is, however, only suggestive, as the percentage of students receiving free and reduced lunch is a crude measure of the level of poverty, and we have no assurance that the College Board sample is representative of the country and that the Norman High School Spanish AP sample is representative of the school sample.

The Design

There was no confirmation of the TPRS class activities done by impartial observers.

It is possible that some diligent students did grammar and vocabulary study on their own, in secret; this was not investigated.

It could be claimed that the small amount of grammar instruction students had in grade 8 was sufficient to provide a basis for their subsequent progress. If so, our results indicate that an extensive grammar foundation is not necessary for success in developing academic language.

In addition, we have assumed that students in the College Board sample had traditional instruction that differed significantly from the method used in the Norman High School sample. Several observers have concluded that high school Spanish in the US is taught in general using an "eclectic approach" which includes wide use of the direct teaching of grammar (http://www.researchgate.net/post/How is spanish taught in the US or UK).

Finally, we are comparing the performance of students who had one teacher with students who had many different teachers. We must ask if the achievement of the Norman High School sample is the result of the method or the teacher. As noted earlier, other studies have compared comprehension-based methods, such as TPRS, with traditional methods, and comprehension-based methods have never lost, strongly suggesting that the method is highly effective.

Conclusions

Despite the obvious lacunae, this study confirms that classes that include substantial amounts of comprehensible input made compelling through taking students' interests very seriously and through personalization of classroom activities, and including far less formal grammar and vocabulary instruction than is done in traditional classes, does not produce disastrous results. They do about as well as other AP students do on the AP test. As the students seem to have enjoyed their Spanish classes, we predict that they are more likely to continue their involvement with Spanish beyond high school.

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING, VOL. 11, ISSUE 1, MAY 2016; www.ijflt.com © 2016 DARCY PIPPINS AND STEPHEN KRASHEN

REFERENCES

- ACTFL, 2011. Foreign Language Enrollments in K-12 Public Schools. http://www.actfl.org/news/reports/foreign-language-enrollments-k-12-public-schools-are-students-ready-global-society
- Dziedzic, J. 2012. A comparison of TPRS and traditional instruction, both with SSR. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* 7(2): 4-6.
- Hedstrom, B. 2014. The special person. *International Journal of Foreign Language* Teaching 9(1): 29-31.
- Krashen, S. 1982. *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. New York: Pergamon Press. Download available at www.sdkrashen.com
- Krashen, S. 2003. *Explorations in language acquisition and use: The Taipei lectures*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Krashen, S. 2011. The compelling (not just interesting) input hypothesis. *The English Connection* (KOTESOL). 15, 3: 1 Available at www.sdkrashen.com
- Pippins, D. 2015. The sweetest sounds: Learning names and asking personalized questions. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* 10 (2): 40-42.
- Ray, B. and Seely, C. 2015. *Fluency through TPR Storytelling*. Berkeley: Command Performance Language Institute.
- Rich, M. 2015. Percentage of poor children in public schools rises. *NY Times*, Jan. 16, 2015. http://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/17/us/school-poverty-study-southern-education-foundation.html?_r=0
- Rowan, K. 2013. Ten ideas for personalizing the language classroom every day. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, May, 2013.
- Varguez, K. 2009. Traditional and TPR Storytelling instruction in the Beginning High School Spanish Classroom. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* 5 (1): 2-11.
- Watson, B. 2009. A comparison of TPRS and traditional foreign language instruction at the high school level. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* 5 (1): 21-24.

Style Sheet for submissions to IJFLT

Please submit your articles to IJFLT as a Word or Word-compatible document and use the settings outlined below.

In the interest of efficiency, articles that do not conform to these guidelines will be returned to the author for revision.

The Research and Teacher to Teacher sections have some different style requirements; please use the guidelines that apply to your submission. Please send all submissions to ijflteditor@gmail.com

ALL SUBMISSIONS Paper size: 8.5" x 11"

Margins: 1.5" left and right, 1.25" top and 1.5" bottom

Tabs: set at .25", .75" and 1.25"; every .5" thereafter as needed

Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt. for text, 10 pt, for abstract, footnotes, and references. If additional fonts are necessary, such as in the case of data display, please ensure that they will display correctly when the document is converted to PDF. If a fixed-width font is necessary for diagrams, use the Courier family.

Spacing: Sentences should be separated by one space. All lines should be single-spaced. Headers and footers: None. These will be added when your article is inserted into the journal. RESEARCH Article Sections:

- 1. Title and Abstract: The entire title should be aligned left. The first word of the title and subtitle and all proper nouns should be capitalized. The first line should contain the title and subtitle of the paper in 16 pt., followed by one blank line in 16 pt., followed by the author's name in 14 pt. The next line contains the author's affiliation (such as the university) in 12 pt. italics. Insert 2 blank lines immediately before the text of the abstract, which should be aligned at the third tab stop (1.25"). Use 10 pt. italics and use a maximum of 300 words. Insert 2 blank lines after the abstract in 12 pt.
- 2. Section headings: Should be separated by the previous section by 2 blank lines and from the section text by one blank line.
- 3. Paragraphs: The first line of paragraphs should NOT be indented. The main text of all paragraphs should be justified. Separate paragraphs with one blank line.
- 4. Examples: Should be in italics. Their glosses in running text should be in single quotes. For numbered examples, place the number in parentheses and tabbed once (0.25"). The rest of the text for that example should be aligned with its gloss using tabs rather than spaces. Use small caps for items like case markers and other

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING, VOL. 11, ISSUE 1, MAY 2016; WWW.IJFLT.COM © 2016

instances where items are not literally translated into English. The idiomatic gloss should be on the following line, in single quotes, and examples should be separated from the text and from other examples by one blank line. If there are multiple examples per example number, the lettered sub-examples should be one tab stop away from the example number. So, if the example is tabbed at 0.25, the sub-example(s) should be tabbed at 0.5. If the language variety needs to be made clear, it can be enclosed in parentheses and right aligned on the line directly above the example. For standard morpheme labels and glossing rules, please refer to the Leipzig Glossing Rules at www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/pdf/Glossing-Rules.pdf

- 5. Tables: Tables incorporated into the text must fit within the margins of the page. Ensure all borders of the table are printable so it will appear correctly in the PDF. Each table should be consecutively numbered and titled in italics directly below the table, with a period and 2 spaces between the table number and its title: Table X. Title of Table
- 6. Figures: The term figure refers here to anything that is not text, an example, or a table. Figures must fit within the text boundaries and be properly labeled and numbered as tables must.

References, etc.:

- 1. Acknowledgements and previous versions: Should be indicated in an initial footnote appended to the end of the main title, using an asterisk (*).
- 2. Notes: The in-text notes should be consecutively numbered footnotes in 10 pt. Times New Roman. Footnotes should be placed at the end of sentences whenever possible. In-text references should come after the final sentence's punctuation.
- 3. In-text citations: If the name of the author is part of the sentence, enclose the year and any page numbers in parentheses. Smith (2012) Smith (2012:150-2) If the name is not part of the sentence, enclose the entire reference: (Smith 2012) (Smith 2012:150-2) If the work has multiple authors, use the ampersand: (Smith & White 2012) (Smith, Jones, & White 2012) A running quotation of 3 or more lines should be set off from the rest of the text by a tab and one blank line before and after. The citation should follow the last line after 2 spaces.
- 4. Reference section: Follows the final section of the article after 4 blank lines. The heading should be REFERENCES in 12 pt. small caps followed by one blank line. Each reference should follow the LSA's "A Unified Stylesheet for Linguistics" here:
- http://linguistlist.org/pubs/tocs/JournalUnifiedStyleSheet2007.pdf . References should be left aligned and in 10 pt. Times New Roman. If a reference wraps to multiple lines, the second and following lines should be indented to the first tab stop (0.25).
- 5. Appendices: These should follow the References section and one blank line. Heading should be APPENDICES using small caps, followed by a blank line. Each appendix should be in the following format: Appendix 1: "Title of Appendix 1" (Video, .avi format) http://hdl.handle.net/XXX/XXX Appendix 2: "Title of

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING, VOL. 11, ISSUE 1, MAY 2016; WWW.IJFLT.COM © 2016

Appendix 2: (Audio, .wav format) http://hdl.handle.net/XXX/XXX Appendix 3: "Title of Appendix 3" (Additional data, .xls format) http://hdl.handle.net/XXX/XXX If you have appendices, the editor will assign

nttp://hdl.nandle.net/XXX/XXX If you have appendices, the editor will assign you permanent URLs.

6. Other: Quotes: "" for direct quotation. Either directional or straight quotes can be used as long as they are consistent. " for 'scare quotes' (keep to an absolute minimum) and quotations within quotations, as well as meta-language glosses. Unless the period is part of the quote, it should come after the final quotation mark. Hyphens and Dashes: Hyphens (-) are used for morphological boundaries and compounded words like 'hip-hop'. Em-dashes (—) are used for parentheticals—like this one—in the text. You may use either en-dashes (—) or hyphens (-) for page and year ranges, like 1996-7, or pages 203-327, but please be consistent and omit spaces between the dash and numbers.

Hyperlinks: Microsoft Word has the habit of turning typed-in http:// addresses into hyperlinks so they become blue and underlined. Please ensure that hyperlinks in your paper are clickable, are obviously links and are consistently blue throughout.

TEACHER TO TEACHER Articles

What do you do better than most other teachers? What do you do differently than other teachers? What do you do that other teachers would be able to adapt for themselves? Sharing those ideas is the purpose of the IJFLT Teacher to Teacher section. In general, submissions:

- Should be a teaching idea that is applicable in the classroom by other teachers
- Should be short.
- Should not assume that readers are familiar with terminology like TPRS or CI or the 5Cs.
- Should write for an international audience, showing that the technique could be applied to any language.
- Avoid using idiomatic expressions in English.
- Avoid using informal language. (It was so cool! Can you believe it?)
- Speak of your own experience and your own strategies and provide step-by-step instructions for teachers who might not be familiar with the background information. While this section is somewhat less formal than the Research section, please follow the guidelines above regarding font, page size, margins, tabs, spacing, and footnotes. Be sure to check the veracity and accuracy of that information carefully and then cite your source.